

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested. The following remarks are responsive to the Office Action mailed November 17, 2004.

**Specification**

The disclosure is objected to for various reasons. Applicant has included an amended version of the specification filed herewith, which Applicant believes should overcome the objections described in the Office Action. Accordingly, Applicant believes the Office Action's objections to the specification have been overcome.

**Claim Objections**

Claim 10 is objected to because it depends from a cancelled claim. Accordingly, claim 10 has been amended to depend from a presently pending claim.

**Claim Rejections**

Claims 9 and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Applicant has amended claims 9, 18-24 to comply with Examiner's suggestions included in the claim rejections. Accordingly, Applicant submits that claims 9 and 18-24 are presently in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-5, 8-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omissions amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections.

Claims 1-5, 8-16, 18-21 have been amended to include appropriate structural inter-relationships among the various elements of the claims. Accordingly, it is asserted by Applicant that claims 1-5, 8-16, 18-21 are now in condition for allowance.

It is respectfully asserted by Applicant that all presently standing claims are now in condition for allowance. If any additional fees are due, please charge them to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

  
John Patrick Ward  
Reg. No. 40,216

Date: April 27, 2005

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030  
(408) 720-8300